UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,978	09/23/2003 Nurettin Burcak Beser		0023-0099	8900
44987 HARRITY SNY	7590 05/22/200 YDER. LLP	EXAMINER		
11350 Random		CHEA, PHILIP J		
SUITE 600 FAIRFAX, VA 22030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2153	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/667,978	BESER, NURETTIN BURCAK	
Examiner	Art Unit	
- Examinor	111 01111	

	TTHEN O. OTTEX	2100
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or capplication, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	g replies: (1) an amendment, affidav peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	rit, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili	ng date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir r (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(I NOTICE OF APPEAL	te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. extension and the corresponding amount e shortened statutory period for reply oric er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	onliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection They raise new issues that would require further c They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be 	onsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	- , , , -
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)		ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	•	ompliant Amendment (PTOI -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate,	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-8,10-31 and 34. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati	ion of the status of the claims after ϵ	entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by the considered by	out does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s) 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	o. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Glenton B. Burgess/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2153		

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant contends that Fijolek does not disclose ordering an allocation of resources. The Examiner believes that assigning an order of upstream resources to limit the maximum rate for a connection is considered ordering an allocation of resources. The Premium group can never receive an upstream speed of 512k since it is not allocated a speed of 512k like the Biz Gold. The order is allocated according to the Plan (i.e. Biz Gold, Biz Silver, Gold, Silver and Premium). Although it is possible that the Biz Gold member may not need all 512 of the rate, it is still allocated that amount. That is, it can get a speed of 512k where as the Premium member can't. Applicant contends that Fijolek does not disclose assigning initialization channels. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Fijolek discloses allocating a network address that corresponds to a requested service level agreement to a network device and a configuration file that includes default initialization parameters. The Examiner believes that the network address is considered the channel. And the network address is part of a pool that is assigned to a group according to a service level agreement (i.e. upstream resource). Applicant contends that Zadikian does not disclose determining an order for allocating upstream resources. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The restoring a connection is the same thing as restoring an upstream and downstream resource since the connection is capable of downstream and upstream. Since the higher priority connections get restored first, there is a determination of an order for reallocating the restored connection to support the specified upstream and downstream allocated resource.